







UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BROOKLYN OFFICE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

- against -

CR 00-1078 (RR)

JONATHAN WAGNER, DANIEL HERNANDEZ and RICHARD MORENO,

ORDER

Defendants.

APPEARANCES:

JOEL WINOGRAD, ESQ.

Winograd & Winograd P.C. 450 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10123 For Defendant Jonathan Wagner

MARC FERNICH, ESQ.

570 Lexington Ave. New York, NY 10022 For Defendant Jonathan Wagner

PHILIP KATOWITZ, ESQ.

320 Seventh Avenue Brooklyn, New York 11215 For Defendant Daniel Hernandez

BARRY G. RHODES, ESQ.

16 Court Street Brooklyn, New York 11201 For Defendant Richard Moreno
> HONORABLE ROSLYNN R. MAUSKOPF UNITED STATES ATTORNEY EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

One Pierrepont Plaza Brooklyn, New York 11201 Attorney for Respondent By: Christina Dugger

Assistant U.S. Attorney

2

P-048

RAGGI, Circuit Judge:

In accordance with the Order of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit issued on July 21, 2005, directing that the above-captioned case be returned to the United States District Court for further consideration in light of United States v. Booker, 125 S. Ct. 738 (2005), and United States v. Crosby, 397 F.3d 103 (2d Cir. 2005), it is hereby ORDERED that:

3

A status conference is scheduled for August 29, 2005 at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom No. 5. Attendance is required by counsel for all parties but not by the defendants themselves.

In advance of this conference, the parties are to submit in writing on or before the close of business on August 18, 2005, any further evidence, proffers, or arguments beyond those submitted at the original sentencing that they deem relevant to the inquiry outlined in **United States** v. Crosby.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

Brooklyn, New York

July 29, 2005

s/Reena Raggi

REENA RAGGI **UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE***

*Sitting By Designation

Jul-27-2005 Case 1:00 cr-01078-FB Document 271 Filed 08/01/05 Page 4 of 5 Page ID #: 5

E.D.N.Y. 00-cr-1078 Raggi, J.

United States Court of Appeals SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated Term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 315 day of July, two thousand and five,

Present:

Hon. Amalya L. Kearse,
Hon. Sonia Sotomayor,
Circuit Judges.
Hon. Laura Taylor Swain,*
District Judge.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Appellee,



v. Docket No. [s]: 02-1287 L, 02-1288 can, 02-1507 con

JONATHAN WAGNER, DANIEL HERNANDEZ, RICHARD MORENO, Defendants - Appellants. ...

The Supreme Court has granted defendant Jonathan Wagner's petition for a writ of certiorari, vacated the judgment and remanded to our Court for further consideration in light of <u>United</u>

<u>States v. Booker</u>, 125 S. Ct. 738 (2005). This case is remanded to the district court for further proceedings in conformity with <u>Booker</u>.

The mandate has not issued in the appeal of co-defendants Daniel Hernandez and Richard Moreno. In light of the Supreme Court's decision in <u>United States v. Booker</u>, 125 S. Ct. 738 (2005), and this Court's decision in <u>United States v. Crosby</u>, 397 F.3d 103 (2d Cir. 2005), their cases are remanded to the district court for further proceedings in conformity with <u>Crosby</u>.

The dispositions in the summary order previously issued in connection with this appeal are hereby made part of this order and are fully effective, except to the extent that they are inconsistent with the present remand in conformity with <u>Booker</u> and <u>Crosby</u>.

USA v. Wagner 02-1287 Page 2 of 2

.....

Any appeal taken from the district court's decisions on remand can be initiated only by filing new notices of appeal. See Fed. R. App. P. 3, 4(b).

A party will not waive or forfeit any appropriate argument on remand or on any appeal postremand by not filing a petition for rehearing of this remand order.

FOR THE COURT:

Roseann B. MacKechnie, Clerk

By: Juille arr